Robert E. Maloney, Jr., OSB No. 670856 maloneyr@lanepowell.com
Pilar C. French, OSB No. 962880 frenchp@lanepowell.com
Brian T. Kiolbasa, OSB No. 112890 kiolbasab@lanepowell.com
LANE POWELL PC
601 SW Second Avenue, Suite 2100
Portland, Oregon 97204-3158
Telephone: 503 778 2105

Telephone: 503.778.2105 Facsimile: 503.778.2200

Attorneys for Bank of America, N.A., and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF OREGON PORTLAND DIVISION

#### **COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH,**

Plaintiff.

V.

MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC **REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC., a** Delaware corporation; **MERSCORP** HOLDINGS, INC., previously MERSCORP., INC.; BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., dba BANC OF AMERICA INVESTMENT **SERVICES, INC.**, a Florida corporation; JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., a Delaware corporation; CITIMORTGAGE, **INC.**, a New York corporation; **EVERBANK** MORTGAGE COMPANY, a division of EVERBANK, a Florida corporation; SUNTRUST MORTGAGE, INC., a Virginia corporation; WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, a foreign corporation; WMC MORTGAGE **CORPORATION**, a California corporation; CITIZENS BANCORP, dba CITIZENS

Case No. 3:15-cv-01246-PK

DECLARATION OF BRIAN T. KIOLBASA IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' REPLY BRIEFS IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

PAGE 1 - DECLARATION OF BRIAN T. KIOLBASA IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' REPLY BRIEFS IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

BANK, an Oregon corporation; and WEST COAST BANCORP, dba WEST COAST BANK, an Oregon corporation; and U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, an Ohio corporation,

Defendants.

# MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC.,

Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff,

V.

#### **COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH,**

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant,

and

FEDERAL HOME LOAN MORTGAGE CORPORATION,

and

## FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION,

Counterclaim-Defendants.

- I, Brian T. Kiolbasa, declare and state as follows:
- 1. I am a member at Lane Powell PC and one of the attorneys for defendants Bank of America, N.A. ("BANA"), and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ("Wells Fargo"). I make this declaration based on my own personal knowledge and in support of the following summary judgment reply briefs filed by defendants in this action:
  - Reply Brief In Support of Defendants' Motions for Summary Judgment on (1) *Ultra Vires* Lawsuit, (2) County's Conduct, (3) No Damages, (4) Claims I-VI, (5) Declaratory and Injunctive Relief, and (6) Punitive Damages;
  - Reply Brief In Support of Defendants Bank of America, N.A. and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.'s Partial Motion for Summary Judgment Vicarious Liability;

# PAGE 2 - DECLARATION OF BRIAN T. KIOLBASA IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' REPLY BRIEFS IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Case 3:15-cv-01246-PK Document 37 Filed 07/09/15

Defendant Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.'s Reply in Support of its Motion for Summary

Judgment on All Claims.

2. Attached hereto as Exhibit 64 is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the

transcript of the deposition of Jeff Sageser, testifying on behalf of Deschutes County, Oregon,

which took place on May 22, 2015.

Attached hereto as Exhibit 65 is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the

transcript of the deposition of Ralph Marle Hoehne, testifying on behalf of Lane County,

Oregon, which took place on June 11, 2015.

4. Attached hereto as Exhibit 66 is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the

transcript of the deposition of Tassi O'Neil, testifying on behalf of the Oregon Association of

County Clerks, which took place on May 29, 2015.

5. Attached hereto as Exhibit 67 is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the

transcript of the deposition of Brenda Giesselmann, testifying on behalf of Wells Fargo Bank,

N.A., which took place on March 25, 2015.

6. Attached hereto as Exhibit 68 is a true and correct copy of a General Judgment of

Foreclosure issued in Wells Fargo Bank, NA v. Bratcher et al., Multnomah County Circuit Court

Case No. 1209-11175.

Attached hereto as Exhibit 69 is a true and correct copy of a Stipulated General 7.

Judgment of Foreclosure issued in Wells Fargo Bank, NA v. Beard et al., Multnomah County

Circuit Court Case No. 1207-08910.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true

and correct.

DATED: July 9, 2015

/s/ Brian T. Kiolbasa

Brian T. Kiolbasa

DECLARATION OF BRIAN T. KIOLBASA IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' REPLY BRIEFS IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY

JUDGMENT

May 22, 2015

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF	Page 1 F THE STATE OF OREGON	(continued)	Page 3
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH		For Defendants U.S. Bank National Association:	
COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH,	)	DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP	
Plaintiff,	)	701 5th Avenue, Suite 6100 Seattle, Washington 98104	
v.	) No.1212-16328	206/903-8870	
MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC	) Volume 1	BY: AMY STERNER NELSON (via phone)	
REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC.,	) Pages 1-99	sterner.amy@dorsey.com	
et al.,	)	For Defendants WMC Mortgage and JP Mortgage Chase	
Defendants.	)	Bank, NA:	
	)	BUCKLEY SANDLER, LLP	
DEPOSITION OF JEFF SAGESER		1250 24th Street NW, Suite 700	
		Washington, DC 20037	
May 22nd	, 2015	202/349-8061	
Frida	ау	BY: ANDREW R. LOUIS (via phone)	
9:08 4	A.M.	Also Present:	
THE VIDEOTAPED DEPO	OSITION OF JEFF SAGESER	ROBIN CASSIDY-DURAN, VIDEOGRAPHER	
was taken at Deschutes Count	try Offices, 1300 NW Wall	MADOLYN CRUMPTON (via phone)	
Street, 2nd Floor, Bend, Oregon, before Deborah M.		Reported by:	
		DEBORAH M. BONDS, CSR-RPR	
Bonds, CSR-RPR, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and		CC REPORTING & VIDEOCONFERENCING	
for the State of Oregon.		EUGENE 541/485-0111	
	Page 2		Page 4

	Page 2				Page 4
	APPEARANCES			INDEX	9
For	the Witness:	WIT	NESS.		PAGE
	Deschutes County Legal Counsel				
	1300 NW Wall Street, Suite 205	JEF	F SAG	BESER	
	Bend, Oregon 97701		BY	MS. FRENCH	7,211
	541/388-6625		D11	MD GWATMON	105
	BY: DAVID DOYLE		ВХ	MR. CHAIMOV	195
	david.doyle@deschutes.org		BY	MR. KAHL	198
For	Plaintiff County of Multnomah:	EXH	TRTTS	3	PAGE
	D'AMORE LAW GROUP				
	4230 Galewood Street, Suite 200	No.	1	Letter and deposition subpoena	10
	Lake Oswego, Oregon 97035	No.	2	Deed of trust	87
	503/222-6333		_		1.40
	BY: NICK KAHL	No.	3	Email string	148
	nick@damorelaw.com	No.	4	Article	153
For	Defendants Bank of America and Wells Fargo:	No.	5	Bend Bulletin article	155
	LANE POWELL PC		-		
	601 SW 2nd Avenue, Suite 2100	No.	6	Email string	156
	Portland, Oregon 97204	No.	7	Email, 11/19/12	158
	503/778-2100		0	Post 1 obstan	150
	BY: PILAR FRENCH	No.	8	Email string	159
	frenchp@lanepowell.com	No.	9	Document re: MERS	160
For	Defendants MERS and MERSCORP:	No.	1.0	Email with MERS statistics	162
	DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE	INO.	10	EMAIL WITH MERS STATISTICS	102
	1300 SW Fifth Avenue, Suite 2400	No.	11	Trust deeds with MERS chart	166
	Portland, Oregon 97201	No.	12	MERS talking points	167
	503/778-5328				
	BY: GREGORY A. CHAIMOV	No.	13	MERS talking points final	174
	gregorychaimov@dwt.com			(continue	d)
	(continued)				



May 22, 2015 25–28

Page 27

Page 25

- 1 And I'm just generally speaking here. We 2 will look to see whether or not it's -- if it's 3 legible for us to capture and then reproduce for the 4 public. We will look to see whether or not there 5 are adequate fees for accepting the document for 6 recording.
- 7 Q. You mentioned that there was a document 8 submitted in connection with a nonjudicial 9 foreclosure pertaining to proofs of service. Is 10 that correct?
- 11 A. No. Affidavit of service.
- 12 Q. Okay. There's -- okay. So there's a
  13 document submitted for recording in connection with
  14 a nonjudicial foreclosure which consists of an
  15 affidavit of service.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Do you review that document to confirm 18 that service has actually been --
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. -- affected on everyone?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. What you're looking for is different. Youhave a list of things that you're looking for.Right?
- 25 A. Correct.
  - Q. You're not examining -- you're not
- 2 examining that document and confirming that what it 3 says actually happened.
- 4 A. That's correct.
- Q. You are checking to make sure you've got a6 signature, that it's notarized and acknowledged,7 that it's legible, and that it's recordable. Is8 that correct?
- 9 A. Reproducible. Eligibility turns into 10 reproducibility and don't forget the fees.
- 11 Q. And fees. Okay. And when you get 12 something like an affidavit of service for a 13 nonjudicial foreclosure, do you index it?
- 14 A. I typically don't, but our recorders do.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. Our office does.
- 17 Q. Okay.
- 18 A. One of our recorders does. Let me just19 also jump in. I will mention that Deschutes County20 is unique in the sense that we have a program
- 21 through our software vendor, Helion, we have 22 autoindexing.
- 23 Q. I was going to ask you about that.
- A. And I would have to say that the rules that have been set up don't favor affidavits.

- 1 Q. Okay.
- 2 A. So the index is rather incomplete.
- 3 Q. So I was -- actually, I think I saw
- 4 something in the documents about the autoindexing. 5 Can you tell me what that is?
- 6 A. Autoindexing is software that our vendor 7 makes available to counties using their recording 8 system that takes a document, OCR's it, in other 9 words, it will scan it for searchable text, and it 10 will apply a set of rules to the text that it finds 11 and probably uses some fancy algorithms to find out 12 how close or approximate names are to descriptive --- 13 other descriptive words to extract an index to be 14 placed in the clerk's searchable index.
- 15 Q. What -- let's shift to a deed of trust, so 16 you can tell me what these descriptive words are 17 that the software looks for.
- 18 A. It will look for -- primarily what we're
  19 doing is populating an index, a direct and indirect
  20 index. I believe the statute refers to them as
  21 grantors and grantees. And the -- the deed of
  22 trust, I would -- I haven't seen the set of rules,
  23 but it will look for the words "beneficiary," and it
  24 will look for the words "lender." It will look for
  25 the words "borrower," "grantor," "grantee." And

Page 28

- 1 there may be some others as well, but I would say 2 that that's probably most of it.
- 3 Q. How long have you been doing -- how long 4 has Deschutes County been autoindexing?
- 5 A. Autoindexing, I'm guessing -- Oh, boy. 6 Good question. It's been more than about seven 7 years.
- 8 Q. Are you one of the only counties that does 9 that?
- 10 A. I believe so.
- 11 Q. Is the trustee indexed?
- 12 A. It is not. The trustee for a deed of
- 13 trust, we do not index the trustee.
- 14 Q. Why is that, if you know?
- 15 A. It's more of a historical practice, and so 16 I wouldn't recall why it would have ever been not 17 indexed.
- 18 Q. Okay. Is the purpose of the indexing to 19 identify the parties to the trust deed?
- 20 A. I would say that's part of it, and more so 21 that it's locatable and searchable by the public.
- 22 Q. Okay. So you don't -- the index -- the
- 23 purpose of the -- tell me what -- you tell me what 24 the purpose of indexing is.
- 25 A. For our office and -- well, the purpose of



May 22, 2015 29-32

Page 31

Page 32

Page 29

1 the index is to help locate a public record. I 2 liken it -- when I've been trying to explain to 3 others, I would use the analogy: If you were to go 4 into a library and you were to try to locate a 5 book --

6 Q. Uh-huh.

A. -- and you went up to a librarian and 7 8 said, "Where is this book?" They may not know, but 9 they have a tool to use, and that tool would be a 10 card catalog reader -- for those that are of the old 11 school. And they would locate that card catalog 12 reader, pull out the index card, and there would be 13 a notation of where they could locate that document. 14 So that's the purpose of the index.

15 Q. Okay. And so as long as the index can 16 tell you where to obtain the record, the index is 17 sound.

18 MR. KAHL: Objection --

19 A. I wouldn't --

20 BY MS. FRENCH:

21 Q. Go ahead. You can -- he's going to --22 he's going to object every now and then when he 23 doesn't like the wording of a question, but you can 24 go ahead and answer.

25 A. Could rephrase the -- or repeat the

Q. So if they need to look at something, it

2 -- well, strike that.

What's the purpose of having it on hand? 3

A. I'm sorry. Repeat that? 4

5 Q. What is the purpose of having that statute 6 on hand?

7 A. So we can follow the guidance that the 8 legislature has provided us.

9 Q. Okay. So I'm looking at ORS 205.160 --

10 A. Let me just mention one additional thing.

11 The Chapter 205 are the duties of the county clerk.

12 So under 205, we would presume that there's guidance 13 for us to follow in carrying out our assignments, if

14 you will.

Q. Okay. And I'm looking at 205.106 [sic] --16 you've read this statute before?

17 A. I have.

18 MR. DOYLE: 160? 19 MS. FRENCH: 205.160?

20 MR. DOYLE: Yeah. You said 106 --

21 MS. FRENCH: Oh, I'm sorry.

22 MR. DOYLE: -- so I just wanted to

23 make sure we had the right one.

MS. FRENCH: I'm going to do that a

25 few times today.

Page 30

1 question for me, please?

Q. Well, I'm just trying to understand the 3 purpose of the index. The index is to locate public 4 records and you, being Deschutes County, with Helion 5 autoindex and retrieve certain pieces of information 6 from the original document that's being recorded, 7 whatever that is, so that you can then later find 8 it. Right? If somebody wants to see it. Correct? A. Correct.

10 Q. But you may not have every key piece of 11 information in the index that is actually contained 12 in the document. It's just enough so that you can 13 find it. Right?

14 A. We follow ORS 205.160 in populating our 15 index.

Q. And that statute tells you what to index? 16

17 A. Correct.

Q. And is that the statute that speaks in 18

19 terms of direct and indirect parties?

20 A. It does.

21 Q. Do you have a copy of that -- does --22 strike that.

Do the clerk's have a copy of that statute 24 on hand?

25 A. Yes. 1 BY MS. FRENCH:

Q. Subsection 1 says (reading): The

3 county clerk shall keep a direct general

4 index and an indirect general index in the

5 office of the clerk.

6 Can you tell me what the difference is 7 between a general index and an indirect general

8 index? A. Well, if it might be helpful, we do look

10 at Section 7 in following because it does mention 11 that in lieu of the direct -- excuse me -- general

12 indirect and direct, if a county uses, believe it or

13 not, a data processing system, which we do use, then 14 that is the method or the process that we follow.

15 So I believe that it's 7 -- subsection 7 under 160

16 that we follow.

Q. Okay. And so Subsection 7 -- I'll read it 18 to you just so that we're all talking about the same

19 thing -- I'm sorry. I don't have a copy. 20 MR. DOYLE: I have a copy here.

21 MS. FRENCH: Oh, okay. Well, if he

22 wants to look at one, that's fine with me.

23 BY MS. FRENCH:

Q. (Reading): In lieu of both the 24

direct and -- you've pretty much quoted it 25



May 22, 2015 73–76

Page 75

Page 76

Page 73

1 it's available in a bookcase in paper form if it --

- 2 if we don't have access to our computers so --
- 3 Q. Do you have weekly meetings or staff 4 meetings or anything like that?
- 5 A. We have monthly meetings, uh-huh.
- 6 Q. Okay. And during those monthly meetings, 7 have you ever discussed MERS?
- 8 A. I believe when the two Supreme Court cases
  9 were decided, I believe there was a conversation
  10 about the Supremes kind of decided on two cases that
  11 were involving MERS, and we haven't really done too
- 12 much to change anything in our practices. And I did
- 13 also share, I believe, at one meeting that I was 14 going to conference and sharing some information 15 about that.
- 16 Q. Okay. And what is it -- I know you've
  17 monitored this Brandrup and Niday -- these cases -18 but what does the county do to monitor the law with
  19 regard to recording issues? Is there any special
- 20 protocol?
  21 A. No, there isn't. I would find it
  22 helpful -- several years ago external auditors would
  23 come in and see how we assessed fees and whether
  24 those were collected and appropriated to the right
  25 agencies, but that hasn't happened for some time.

1 property -- I need to record it. Right?

- 2 MR. KAHL: I'm going to object that it 3 calls for a legal conclusion.
- 4 A. I wouldn't --
- 5 BY MS. FRENCH:
- 6 Q. You can answer, if you know.
- 7 A. Yeah. I wouldn't be able to answer that 8 question.
- 9 Q. Okay. You don't know what the purpose of 10 recording a trust deed is?
- 11 A. I do.
- 12 Q. What is the purpose?
- 13 A. I would say for the clerk's office, the
  14 purpose for -- and I'm just speaking within the
  15 duties of the county clerk -- is to preserve the
  16 permanent record, make those records available for
  17 public inspection.
- 18 Q. But do you --
- 19 A. I would -- I would only presume that there20 are other purposes that other people could speak to.
- 21 Q. So you don't know what the purpose of 22 recording a trust deed is?
- 23 MR. KAHL: I'm going to object. It's 24 asked and answered.
- 25 MS. FRENCH: I just want to confirm

Page 74

- 1 Q. So talk -- speaking about the fees, how 2 are the fees allocated?
- 3 A. The fees -- the recording fees are 4 collected -- I'll just say that the clerk's office 5 will get basically \$5 per page of a document to 6 record. The additional fees that would be collected 7 will be for affordable housing; will be for a corner 8 preservation, a surveyor's fee; assessment and 9 taxation, which goes to state, and some is returned.
- 10 A portion of the recorder's fee, the \$5,
  11 there's a 5 percent fee that is dedicated for record
  12 preservation, technology, upgrading of the software,
  13 storage -- you know, purchase of storage cabinets,
  14 whatever the clerk may need, so there's a dedicated
  15 fee that's associated with the \$5 so --
- 16 Q. So the fees are for providing the public 17 service of recording?
- 18 A. The clerk's office fee is associated -- 19 yeah.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. I can only speak to that.
- 22 Q. Okay. And if somebody -- if I have a 23 trust deed -- if I have a deed of trust, and I want
- 24 to make sure I have priority, that I stay first in
- 25 line -- nobody else is loaned against the

1 I'm understanding.

- 2 MR. DOYLE: Do you understand the 3 question?
- 4 A. The purpose of a trust deed to be recorded 5 is to -- well, some may say to perfect the document 6 and make it available for public inspection and to 7 give constructive notice.
- 8 BY MS. FRENCH:
- 9 Q. Okay. Perfect the document -- what was 10 your second? Make it -- make a record?
- 11 A. Make it available for public inspection.
- 12 Q. Okay. Make it available for public
- 13 inspection. And what was the --
- 14 A. Which may very well be constructive15 notice.
- 16 Q. And then constructive notice. What's 17 constructive notice?
- 18 A. To give someone an understanding that 19 something is -- they're on notice of an event, an 20 occurrence, something exists.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. So to bring someone into knowledge of
- 23 something or make them aware of something.
- 24 Q. And what is perfecting the document?
- 25 A. I wouldn't be able to speak to that



May 22, 2015 77-80

Page 79

Page 77

1 because it's not a concern of the clerk's office.

- 2 Q. So the clerk's office may record documents 3 and it may have an effect of perfection, but the 4 clerk's office isn't providing any kind of legal 5 stamp or approval indicating that that's in fact 6 what has happened. There's no representation to 7 that effect.
- A. Correct.
- 9 Q. That would be between the parties to 10 whatever the instrument is. Right? That would be 11 their issue.
- 12 A. I wouldn't be able --
- 13 MR. KAHL: Object. Calls for a legal 14 conclusion.
- 15 MR. DOYLE: Did you complete your 16 answer?
- A. I wouldn't be able to speak to that. 17
- 18 BY MS. FRENCH:
- 19 Q. Okay. There's no requirement to record a 20 deed of trust, is there?
- 21 MR. DOYLE: Do you understand the
- 22 question? Whose requirement, I guess I would ask.
- 23 But do you understand the question as phrased?
- 24 A. We're not reaching out to make any
- 25 determination whether something is required or not.

- 1 that it can collect the fee. 2 A. Correct.
- Q. Now, you mentioned earlier that there's 4 several ways for presenting documents for recording. 5 You said you in person, mail, or online?
- A. Correct.
- 7 Q. And what percentage of each method is 8 used?
- A. Good question. 9
- 10 Q. If you know.
- A. I would say about 60, 65 percent are 11
- 12 electronic. The other is -- roughly 25 plus is
- 13 through the mail, and then the other is over the 14 counter.
- Q. Okay. And how long has Deschutes been 16 using the electronic method?
- A. It was about the same time -- 2000 --18 2009, 2010. We've been doing it for three, four 19 years.
- Q. And there's a time requirement, once a 21 document is recorded and indexed, to return the 22 original. Correct?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. And is it like -- is it ten days?
- 25 A. It's ten days.

Page 80

- 1 BY MS. FRENCH:
- 2 Q. Right.
- 3 A. So I can't speak to the requirement that
- 4 someone may be looking at, whether they're -- so as
- 5 a receiving party and performing ministerial
- 6 functions, we're not looking out at someone needing 7 to do something. So if it's requiring them or if
- 8 it's not requiring them --
- 9 BY MS. FRENCH:
- 10 Q. Okay. So you don't ever do a land search,
- 11 make sure people have recorded all documents that
- 12 need to go -- that need to be recorded for whatever
- 13 reason? That's not the county's prerogative?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. And if somebody takes out -- if
- 16 somebody -- if I give Mr. Chaimov a deed of trust
- 17 against my property, and he decides not to record
- 18 it, that's his issue. Right?
- 19 A. I would say that I would presume it might 20 be his issue.
- 21 Q. It wouldn't be an issue for Deschutes 22 County.
- A. It wouldn't an issue for Deschutes County.
- Q. And Deschutes County wouldn't be banging 25 on his door and demanding that he come record it so

- Q. And has Deschutes County been able to meet 2 that requirement?
- A. Yes. 3

Page 78

- 4 Q. Has there ever been a time when it wasn't 5 meeting that requirement?
- 6 A. There may have been a day or two. We 7 pride ourselves in returning original documents, and 8 so there may have been one or two days in about 15 9 years that we haven't met the ten days.
- 10 Q. Okay. And when a deed of trust is
- 11 presented for recording, who usually presents the 12 document?
- 13 A. Usually?
- 14 Q. Uh-huh.
- A. I have no idea. Title companies is where 16 we get most of our records, the submission of our 17 records, and they submit those electronically, but 18 we get them all over the countryside.
- 19 Q. Okay. Are you supposed to keep track of 20 who submits the document to you?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And -- were you going to add something?
- 23 A. I was going to say, we are required in our
- 24 index of where to return the original document, but
- 25 we have also who has paid for the document, who has



Page 105

#### JEFF SAGESER VOLUME I MULTNOMAH VS. MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC

May 22, 2015 105–108

(Beginning Volume 2.)

1 2

- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the 4 record at 12:51 p.m.
- 5 BY MS. FRENCH:
- 6 Q. Sorgeson [sic]. Right?
- 7 A. Sageser.
- 8 Q. Saget?
- 9 A. Sageser.
- 10 Q. Sageser. Mr. Sageser -- sorry about that.
- 11 A. You're not alone.
- 12 Q. Okay. We're back on the record, and
- 13 you're still under oath, just as you were this
- 14 morning. Do you understand that?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Have you ever had to correct information
- 17 about a recorded document?
- 18 A. We maintain the index.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. So corrections to the index have been made
- 21 after -- even after verification, if it's brought to
- 22 our attention that a correction needs to be made,
- 23 we'll look into it and make the correction.
- 24 Q. And how -- and how do you make that 25 correction?

- Page 107
  1 of recording, and you said that one of the purposes
  2 was perfection?
- 3 A. (Witness nodded.)
- 4 Q. Deschutes County does not guarantee 5 perfection. Is that correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. So is doesn't -- it provides the service 8 of recording, but perfection may be an effect of 9 recording. Is that correct?
- 10 A. Could be.
- 11 Q. And so would it be fair to say that
- 12 Deschutes County does not provide the service of 13 perfecting a lien?
- 14 A. It's certainly not one of our -- we don't15 advertise that in any nature, and we wouldn't be
- 16 asked, "What are you doing here?" We wouldn't -- it 17 wouldn't come to a normal conversation saving.
- 18 "Well, we're perfecting the documents." That's 19 outside of our -- yeah.
- 20 Q. You mentioned Helion as your vendor for 21 indexing?
- 22 A. No. They -- our recording system is done 23 by -- is written by Helion, and they have provided a 24 module in which our documents are sort of tested 25 against, if you will, a set of rules called

Page 106

- 1 A. We pull up the document, and we make a 2 correction to -- most likely a typographical error. 3 That's where most of them are found.
- 4 Q. So you can -- you can manually do that 5 right in the -- in the software system?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. You just queue it up and change it and hit 8 save?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. You don't -- does it cost anything to do 11 that?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. And if there's a concern about having MERS
- 14 indexed as an indirect party, could you work with
- 15 Helion to come up with some sort of macro to fix 16 that and to remove them as an indirect party?
- 17 MR. KAHL: I'm going to object that 18 that's a vague question.
- 19 A. I could work with any of our vendors if a20 change was necessary. They're very bright folks.21 BY MS. FRENCH:
- 22 Q. And has Deschutes County inquired about 23 the cost of making that kind of change?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Okay. We talked earlier about the purpose

Page 108
1 autoindexing so -- and we license that software
2 so --

- 3 Q. Okay. And is there -- let me just put it 4 this way. Is that the only service that they 5 provide -- that Helion provides, or do they provide 6 other services to you?
- 7 A. There -- they provide an assessment and 8 taxation component to the assessor's office and 9 the -- our tax office. It's called ORCATS.
- 10 Q. Do they -- you mentioned that there's some 11 stuff -- that there's some data that you can enter 12 into the system that doesn't go into the index?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Does Helion provide the software interface 15 for that?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And when documents are electronically 18 submitted to you, does that come through a Helion 19 interface?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Is it fair to say that your entire system
- 22 of recording is based on Helion software?
- 23 A. Real property, yes. We have other
- 24 recording functions that do not use software
- 25 provided by -- or made by -- developed by Helion.



#### RALPH MARLE HOEHNE VOLUME 1-2 COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH vs. MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC

June 11, 2015 1–4

COUNTY OF WIDETING	IAH VS. MOR I GAGE ELEC Page 1			1- Page :
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF		(continu	ned)	r age .
IN AND FOR THE COUN	TY OF MULTNOMAH		endants U.S. Bank National Association	:
COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH,	,		SEY & WHITNEY LLP	
	1		5th Avenue, Suite 6100 tle, Washington 98104	
Plaintiff,	)		903-8870	
v.	) No.1212-16328		AMY STERNER NELSON (via phone)	
MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC	) Volume 1	ster	ner.amy@dorsey.com	
REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC.,	) Pages 1-144	For Defe	endants WMC Mortgage and JP Mortgage C	hase
REGISTRATION SISTEMS, INC.,	) rages 1-144	Bank, NA		
et al.,	)		LEY SANDLER, LLP 24th Street NW, Suite 700	
Defendants.	)		lington, DC 20037	
	)		349-8061	
OPGD 30	015)	BY:	ANDREW R. LOUIS (via phone)	
ORCP 39	C(6)	For Defe	endant CitiMortgage, Inc.:	
DEPOSITION OF LANE	COUNTY, OREGON	MAYE	R BROWN LLP	
BY RALPH MAR	LE HOEHNE		South Wacker Drive	
Thursday, June	11th. 2015		ago, Illinois 60606	
			701-8821 THOMAS P. EVANS	
9:24 A	. М.		uns@mayerbrown.com (via phone)	
THE VIDEOTAPED DEPO	SITION OF RALPH MARLE	Also Pre		
HOEHNE was commenced at Lane	County Offices, 125 East		N CASSIDY-DURAN, VIDEOGRAPHER	
9th Arranua Fugana Oragan	hofore Deberah M. Denda	MADO	DLYN CRUMPTON (via phone)	
8th Avenue, Eugene, Oregon, 1	before beboran M. Bonds,	Reported	l by:	
CSR-RPR, Certified Shorthand	Reporter in and for the		RAH M. BONDS, CSR-RPR	
State of Oregon.			PEPORTING & VIDEOCONFERENCING	
		EUGE	NE 541/485-0111	
APPEARAN	Page 2		INDEX	Page
For the Witness:	CES			
LANE COUNTY COUNSELS OFF	ICE	WITNESS.		PAGE
125 East 8th Avenue		RALPH MA	RLE HOEHNE	
Eugene, Oregon 97401		BY	MR. YENOUSKAS	7,214
541/682-6561		BY	MR. CHAIMOV	200
BY: STEPHEN E. DINGLE	7. NO	BY	MR. KAHL	200,222
stephen.dingle@co.lane.or For Plaintiff County of Mult:		EVUTDITE		•
D'AMORE LAW GROUP				
4230 Galewood Street, Su	ite 200	No. 1	Declaration of custodian of records	8
Lake Oswego, Oregon 9703	5	No. 2	Notice of deposition	9
503/222-6333		No. 3	Document Receiving, Batching, and	57
BY: NICK KAHL			Receipting Procedures	
nick@damorelaw.com For Defendants Bank of Americ	ca and Wells Fargo:	No. 4	Document type list	67
GOODWIN PROCTER	ca and wells rargo.			
901 New York Avenue, N.W		No. 5	Lane County website printout	71
Washington, D.C. 20001		No. 6	Deed of trust	83
202/346-4143		No. 7	Screen print of Helion index	88
BY: JOSEPH F. YENOUSKAS		No. 8	Deed of trust	89
jyenouskas@goodwinprocte: For Defendants MERS and MERS		No. 9	Screen print of Helion index	92
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE	COM:	No. 10	Email string	94
1300 SW Fifth Avenue, Su	ite 2400		_	
Portland, Oregon 97201		No. 11	Memo and Bev Smith email	97
503/778-5328		No. 12	Email string, 4/27/11	104
BY: GREGORY A. CHAIMOV		No. 13	Email string, 6/8/11	107
gregorychaimov@dwt.com	(		(continue	d)
	(continued)			



#### **RALPH MARLE HOEHNE VOLUME 1-2** COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH vs. MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC

June 11, 2015 53-56

Page 56

Α.

2 Q. Do you know how other counties treat the 3 indexing of MERS?

A. No. 4

5 Q. Okay. No idea at all?

6 A. Well, no. Actually, no, not really. I 7 mean --

- Q. It's not been a topic of discussion with 9 the Clerks Association?
- A. Well, I think it has been topic of
- 11 discussion, but I couldn't sit here and tell you
- 12 that Douglas County does it in a certain way. I 13 don't know that.
- Q. Oh, no. And I'm not asking for specific 15 counties but, for example, do you know that some 16 other counties do it a different way?
- 17 A. I would -- it would not surprise me to 18 learn that other counties do things differently. I 19 mean, we hear it from submitters all the time.
- Q. Right. So it's not a black-and-white 21 process?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. Take a quick break. We've been going for 23 24 a while. Five-minute break?
- 25 A. Yes.

Page 53

- Page 55 1 into either of those categories so we don't index 2 the trustee.
- Q. Do you know whether the trustee is granted 4 any rights under a deed of trust?
- A. No, not going to make legal 6 determinations.
- Q. Okay. Now, you mentioned that the 7 8 documents are scanned.
- A. They are.
- 10 Q. How long has that been going on for?
- A. Since -- well, since 1999, and we've --11
- 12 since we really started with using the Helion
- 13 software system, and we've since gone back to
- 14 mid-'90s, I think, that we've converted images back.
- Q. So there's a process underway to go back 16 into the past and make digitized images?
- 17 Α. There is.
- Q. Okay. And is that sill ongoing? 18
- 19 Α. It is, slowly.
- 20 Q. Slow process? And is Helion doing that 21 for you?
- 22 A. Well, we're doing it ourselves actually.
- Q. Okay. And prior to the earliest date that 24 you have digitized images, in what form are the 25 images?

Page 54

- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: One moment, please. 1
- 2 MR. YENOUSKAS: My voice gets tired.
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We've off the 3
- 4 record at 10:21 a.m.
- (Recess: 10:21 to 10:37 a.m.)
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the 7 record at 10:37 a.m.
- 8 BY MR. YENOUSKAS:
- 9 Q. Mr. Hoehne, we didn't discuss one other 10 part of your deed of trust, the trustee.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 You're aware that a trustee is a party to
- 13 a deed of trust?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Does Lane County index the trustee in 16 either the grantor or the grantee indices?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Why is that?
- 19 A. Because they're neither the grantor nor 20 the grantee.
- 21 Q. And how was that determination made?
- A. I don't know how to answer the question.
- 23 I mean, we treat -- we treat the grantee as the
- 24 beneficiary or the lender or the nominee, and the 25 grantor is the borrower. The trustee doesn't fall

- Microfilm. 1 Α.
- 2 Microfilm. And how far do those images go 3 back?
- A. Well, they -- they go back to the 5 beginning of recording in Lane County.
- Q. So everything has been microfilmed.
- 7 A. Yes. Everything has been filmed.
- Q. Now, we've been talking about this process 8
- 9 of recording documents in which Lane County receives 10 a fee for the service of recording the document.
- 11 A. Uh-huh.
- Q. Have you ever heard of a service called
- 13 perfecting a lien?
- 14 A. Well, I mean, I've heard the term before.
- 15 Q. Does Lane County perfect liens?
- A. Not that I know of. 16
- 17 Q. You don't charge a fee for a service
- 18 called perfecting a lien?
- 19 A. No. sir.
- Q. Okay. You don't have policies and
- 21 procedures for perfecting liens?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Okay. Not a service the county provides,
- 24 in other words?
- 25 A. No.



## TASSI O`NEIL COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH v. MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC

May 29, 2015

```
Page 1
                                                                                                                 Page 3
    IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON
                                                                                APPEARANCES
                                                            For Defendant WMC Mortgage Corporation,
       IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH
                                                            JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., and Chase Home Mortgage
COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH.
                                                            Corporation:
                                                                  BUCKLEY SANDLER
                                                                  1250 24th Street NW, Suite 700
                                                                  Washington, DC 20037
                                                                  202/349-8061
             Plaintiff,
                                                                  BY: MR. ANDREW R. LOUIS
                                                                  alouis@bucklevsandler.com
                                                                  (Appearing by phone)
                                  No. 1212-16328
                                                            For Defendant CitiMortgage, Inc.:
                                                                  MAYER BROWN LLP
                                                                  71 South Wacker Drive
MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION)
                                                                  Chicago, Illinois 60606
                                                                  312/701-8821
SYSTEMS, INC., et al.,
                                                                  BY: MR. THOMAS P. EVANS
                                                                  tevans@mayerbrown.com
                                                                  (Appearing by phone)
            Defendants.
                                                            For Defendant U.S. Bank in its capacity as
                    ORCP 39C(6)
                                                            Securitization Trustee:
       DEPOSITION OF THE OREGON ASSOCIATION
                                                                  LARKINS VACURA LLP
                                                                  1251 SW Morrison Street, Suite 700
                OF COUNTY CLERKS
                                                                  Portland, Oregon 97204
                                                                  503/542-3104
                  BY TASSI O'NEIL
                                                                  BY: MR. WILLIAM L. LARKINS
                   May 29, 2015
                                                                  wlarkins@larkinsvacura.com
                                                                  (Appearing by phone)
                     Friday
                                                            For Defendant U.S. Bank in its individual
                     9:12 A.M.
                                                            capacity:
                                                                  DORSEY & WHITNEY
         THE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF TASSI
                                                                  Columbia Center
O'NEIL was taken at Local Government Center, 1201
                                                                  701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6100
                                                                  Seattle, Washington 98104
Court Street Northeast, Salem, Oregon, 93701,
                                                                  206/903-8870
before Jan R. Duiven, CSR, FCRR, CCP, Certified
                                                                  MS. AMY NELSON
                                                                  sterner.nelson.amy@dorsey.com
Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of Oregon.
                                                                  (Appearing by phone)
                                                    Page 2
                                                                                                                 Page 4
                    APPEARANCES
                                                                                APPEARANCES
For the Plaintiff:
     D'AMORE LAW GROUP
                                                            For Defendant SunTrust Mortgage:
     4230 Galewood Street, Suite 200
     Lake Oswego, Oregon 97035
     503/222-6333
                                                                  SNELL & WILMER
     BY: MR. NICK KAHL
     nick@damorelaw.com
                                                                  One Arizona Center
     MS. MADOLYN CRUMPTON
     2007 3rd Avenue N.
     Birmingham, Alabama 35203
                                                                  400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 1900
     crumptonlaw@gmail.com
     205/250-0010
                                                                  Phoenix, Arizona 85004
      (Appearing by phone)
For Defendants Bank of America, N.A., EverHome
Mortgage Company, and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.:
                                                                  602/382.6310
     GOODWIN PROCTER LLP
     53 State Street
                                                                  BY: MS. AMANDA JENKINS
     Boston, Massachusetts 02109-2802
     617/570-1000
     BY: MS. YVONNE W. CHAN
                                                                  ajenkins@swlaw.com
     ychan@goodwinprocter.com
For Defendants MERS, Inc., and MERSCORP Holdings:
                                                                  (Appearing by phone)
     DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
     1300 SW 5th Avenue, Suite 2400
     Portland, Oregon 97201
                                                            The Videographer:
     503/778-5328
     BY: MR. GREGORY A. CHAIMOV
     gregorychaimov@dwt.com
                                                                  MR. DAVID MCGINNIS
For the Deponent:
     MR. ROB BOVETT
                                                            Reported by:
     Association of Oregon Counties
     1201 Court Street NE, Suite 300
     Salem, Oregon 97301
                                                                  JAN R. DUIVEN, CSR, FCRR, CCP
     503/585-8351
     rbovett@aocweb.org
```



TASSI O'NEIL COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH v. MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC

May 29, 2015 61-64

Page 61 Is MERS -- or was MERS one of those 2 items?

3 A. Yes.

6

21

1

14

16

Q. Okay. Beginning from around the time 4

5 that it was formed?

A. Yes. I believe so.

7 MR. KAHL: We're about an hour in

8 right now. Do you need a break?

THE WITNESS: Sure. 9

10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: And we're going

11 off the record.

12 (Recess: 10:17 a.m. to 10:26 a.m.)

13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: And we're back on

14 the record. Counsel may proceed.

MS. CHAN: Thank you. 15

16 BY MS. CHAN:

Q. Ms. O'Neil, do you recall the NACRC 17

18 affiliate reporting back at any of the OACC

19 meetings during any of the time that you were a

20 member about MERS?

A. Not specifically, no.

22 Q. What about the -- and I'm going to get

23 this wrong -- but the I --

A. IACREOT.

25 Q. IACREOT.

Page 62

A. I don't recall specifically.

2 Q. And PRIA?

3 A. I don't recall that specifically

4 either.

5 Q. Were there discussions at any of the

6 OACC meetings about the loss of recording fees?

A. Yes. 7

8 Q. Okay. What was the discussion?

A. That as the keeper of the records, the

10 assignments were not being recorded in the

11 counties' records, therefore, the fees were --

12 were not being given to the counties to record

13 those documents.

Q. Was there a discussion at those

15 meetings about whether assignments were required?

A. I don't recall.

17 Q. The discussion about the fees that

18 were then paid, was it a discussion about whether

19 those fees should have been paid or -- or what --

20 just tell me more about what was discussed.

21

A. Anytime a document is recorded,

22 there's recording fees that are not only -- that

23 we collect that the county gets a small portion

24 of, and fees that go on to state agencies as well

25 and others. And if those assignments were not

Page 63 1 being recorded, those fees were not being passed

2 on to those agencies.

3 Q. Okay. Who sets the fees?

There's statute -- statutes of

5 different fees for different items, as well as

counties set some of their own fees.

7 Q. And the fees are paid each time a

document is recorded?

9 A. Yes.

4

Q. Was there any discussion about whether 10

11 there was a way to get those fees?

A. Can you repeat the question? 12

Q. Sure. You said that there was -- that 13

14 the discussion was about fees that weren't being

15 paid because assignments weren't being recorded.

16 Was there a discussion about whether there was a

17 way to get the fees to be paid?

18 A. I believe there was discussion about

19 those documents that hadn't been recorded to see

20 if there was a way to have them be recorded, as

21 well as there were lawsuits within the United

22 States that were happening.

Q. Okay. Tell me what you mean by see if 23

24 there's a way to have them being recorded.

25 A. In -- in general, as counties, we like

Page 64

1 to have documents recorded within our office as 2 we're the keeper of the records and it keeps the

3 chain of title clean. And if a document's not

4 recorded, we, of course, would like it to be

5 recorded so that when the public or title

6 companies, attorneys, anyone looking at those

7 records would see how that chain of title is

8 complete.

9 Q. So that's something you would prefer

10 to have happen?

11 A. Absolutely.

Q. Can you force it? 12

13 A. Not specifically, no.

14 So there are -- there may be other

15 cases not involving MERS where you know that

16 assignments aren't being recorded for a particular

17 property or particular deed of trust. Is there

18 anything you can do about that?

19 A. No.

20 MR. KAHL: Wait. When you're asking

21 her can she do it, are you asking her as the

22 president of OACC or as the Tillamook County

23 clerk?

24 MS. CHAN: Well, she was following

25 up her previous question about what we would --



Brenda Giesselmann

```
1
           IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON
2
                   FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH
 3
 4
    COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH,
                                          Case No. 1212-16328
 5
                  Plaintiff,
 6
             vs.
7
    MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC
    REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC., a
8
9
    Delaware corporation; MERSCORP
10
    HOLDINGS, INC, previously
11
    MERSCORP, INC.; BANK OF AMERICA,
12
    N.A., dba BANC OF AMERICA
13
    INVESTMENT SERVICES, INC., a Florida
14
    Corporation;
15
16
     (Case caption continues on next page)
17
18
19
              ORCP 39 C(6) VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF
20
                       BRENDA GIESSELMANN
21
                      Taken March 25, 2014
22
                    Commencing at 9:09 a.m.
23
24
25
          REPORTED BY: MARY P. MITCHELL, RDR, CRR, CLR
```

1

#### **Brenda Giesselmann**

- 1 because she has to keep up with you on the reporting.
- 2 A. Sorry.
- MR. HEFFERON: No problem.
- 4 A. "MERS signing officers should understand the
- 5 difference between recording and registering a mortgage
- 6 loan. Mortgages, deed of trust, security deeds are
- 7 recorded in the applicable public land records.
- 8 Recording is done in order to perfect a security
- 9 instrument and to establish priority ahead of other
- 10 secured creditors. Mortgage loan information is
- 11 registered on either the MERS System or MERS
- 12 Commercial, depending on the type of loan.
- 13 Registration is done in order to track changes in
- 14 servicing rights and beneficial ownership interests in
- 15 mortgage loans. Registering the mortgage loan
- information on the MERS System or MERS Commercial is
- 17 separate and apart from the function that the county
- 18 recorders perform."
- 19 Q. All right. And then it says underneath that
- there are three types of loans registered on the MERS
- 21 System. You have a loan closed on a security
- 22 instrument where MERS is the original mortgagee, known
- 23 as a MOM loan. And if I use the term "MOM" today, are
- 24 you familiar with that?
- 25 A. Yes.

1 All right. And then you have loans where the Ο. 2 lien is assigned to MERS post-closing. And that's a 3 non-MOM loan, is that correct? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Q. All right. And then loans registered solely 6 for tracking where MERS is not the mortgagee or the 7 assignee, known as iRegistration. Are you familiar with all three of those? 8 Α. Yes.

1 2 3 4 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON 5 FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH 6 WELLS FARGO BANK, NA, 7 Plaintiff. Case No\_1209-11175 CW 8 I<del>PROPOSED)</del> GENERAL JUDGMENT OF VS. FORECLOSURE 9 DAVID BRATCHER A/K/A DAVID J. BRATCHER; TRACI BRATCHER A/K/A 10 TRACI A. BRATCHER; STATE OF OREGON; AND OCCUPANTS OF THE PREMISES, 11 Defendants. 12 13 The Court finds that an Order granting Plaintiff Wells Fargo Bank, NA's ("Wells Fargo") 14 Motion for Summary Judgment as to Plaintiff's Complaint for Deed of Trust Foreclosure, as 15 against defendants David Bratcher, a/k/a David J. Bratcher, Traci Bratcher, a/k/a Traci A. 16 Bratcher and Occupants of the Premises, was entered on October 3, 2013. Now therefore, the 17 Court being fully advised in the premises and finding that a General Judgment of Foreclosure 18 should be entered in favor of Wells Fargo based upon the following findings, reasons, and 19 conclusions by the Court: 20 David Bratcher and Traci Bratcher executed and delivered to EverHome Mortgage 1. 21 Company a promissory note in the amount of \$171,112 (the "Note"). 22 2. At the same time and place as the execution and delivery of the Note, and as part of 23 the same transaction, David Bratcher and Traci Bratcher, the then owner of the real property 24 described in Exhibit 1 attached hereto (the "Property"), executed and delivered a Deed of Trust 25 ("Deed of Trust") to Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. solely as nominee for 26

PAGE 1 - [PROPOSED] GENERAL JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE

- 1 EverHome Mortgage Company. The Deed of Trust was recorded in the official Multnomah
- 2 County records on October 2, 2008, as document No. 2008-139297.
- 3. Sometime thereafter the Note and Deed of Trust were sold or assigned to plaintiff,
- 4 who is the current holder of the Note and assignee of the Deed of Trust, as noted by the Corporate
- 5 Assignment of Deed of Trust duly recorded in the official Multnomah County records on
- 6 February 22, 2012, as document No. 2012-020199.
- 7 4. David Bratcher and Traci Bratcher breached the terms of the Note and Deed of
- 8 Trust by failing to make a voluntary monthly payment which was due on October 1, 2011, and
- 9 making no voluntary payments on the Note and Deed of Trust since that date. Therefore, the Note
- and Deed of Trust are in default.
- 5. On or about September 5, 2012, plaintiff commenced this action. On
- 12 September 5, 2012, plaintiff then caused to be recorded a Notice of Pendency of an Action in the
- 13 Multnomah County Land Records under Recorder No. 2012-111872 ("Notice of Lis Pendens").
- 14 6. An Order placing defendant State of Oregon in default was entered herein on
- 15 December 24, 2012.
- 16 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, DECLARED AND ADJUDGED:
- 17 l. Plaintiff is entitled to the entry of judgment against defendants David Bratcher
- a/k/a David J. Bratcher, Traci Bratcher a/k/a Traci A. Bratcher, State of Oregon, and Occupants
- of the Premises, foreclosing any interest they may claim or have claimed in or to the Property
- 20 (1429 NE La Mesa Avenue, Gresham, Oregon 97030), more fully described in Exhibit 1 hereto.
- 21 2. The Deed of Trust is a valid lien for the amount of plaintiff's judgment as set
- forth in Paragraph 4 below against the Property and fixtures located thereon and rents and leases
- 23 relating thereto and situated in Multnomah County, Oregon, and that lien is superior to any
- 24 interest, lien, or claim of any defendant, their successors, assigns or any purchasers or holders of
- 25 any interest or encumbrances arising after October 2, 2008, in the Property described in
- 26 Exhibit 1, or its fixtures, rents, or leases.

#### PAGE 2 - [PROPOSED] GENERAL JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE



- 3. The Deed of Trust is hereby foreclosed and all interests and/or claims held by defendants or their successors or assigns, including purchasers and/or holders of encumbrances, arising on or after October 2, 2008, in the Property or its fixtures, rents, and/or leases shall be sold by the Sheriff of Multnomah County, Oregon, in the manner provided by law and in accordance with the practice of this Court.
- 4. All rights, title and interest of defendants, and all persons claiming through or under defendants, as purchasers, encumbrancers, or otherwise, are forever foreclosed of all interest, lien or claim in the Property, and every portion thereof, including any statutory right of redemption as defendants may have herein, as provided by Oregon law, which right defendants David Bratcher and Traci Bratcher specifically agree to waive.
- 5. For purposes of allowing a credit bid to be made at any foreclosure or execution sale, Judgment is entered in favor of plaintiff in the principal amount of \$165,430.16, plus accrued interest to August 1, 2013, in the amount of \$21,402.65, plus interest on the principal amount from August 2, 2013, at the rate of 6.75% per annum until entry of judgment, plus recoverable costs and fees (including, but not limited to, costs associated with escrow advances and late charges) in the amount of \$7,641.08, and attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of \$19,768.65, and a Writ of Execution shall issue therefor against the property, fixtures, rents and/or leases and not against any defendant personally.
- 6. The proceeds of the sale shall be applied first towards the costs of sale, then toward the satisfaction of the judgment amount set forth in Paragraph 4 above, then the surplus, if any, to the clerk of the court to be distributed to any other party or parties as may establish their right and priority thereto.
- 6. Plaintiff is permitted to become a bidder and purchaser at the foreclosure sale, and plaintiff or any subsequent purchaser shall be entitled to immediate possession of the Property.
- 25 If the Property is not so vacated, the Court hereby orders the Clerk of the Court to issue a writ of
- 26 assistance ordering the Sheriff to deliver possession of the Property to plaintiff or to any

PAGE 3 - [PROPOSED] GENERAL JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	<del>purchaser up</del>	on written request by plaintiff or purchaser to the Clerk of the Court and without
2	any further o	order necessary from the Court. The Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter
3	until-David	Bratcher and/or Traci Bratcher, and/or any persons occupying the Property with
4	them, have v	acated the Property and the sale is completed.
5	7.	The defendants and each of them, and all persons claiming through or under
6	defendants, a	as purchasers, encumbrancers, or otherwise, are forever foreclosed of all interest,
7	lien, or claim	in the Property and every portion thereof.
8	8.	Defendants David Bratcher's and Traci Bratcher's Counterclaim is dismissed with
9	prejudice.	
10	9.	The Court finds there is no just reason for delay of the entry of judgment and
1	directs entry	of final judgment on Wells Fargo's claims against Defendants as set forth herein.
12	D.A.T	ED this 12 day of January, 2014.
13	DATI	· ·
14		Christopher Marsha
15		Honorable Christopher J. Marshall Circuit Court Judge
16	Submitted by	
17	Julie M. Eng Lane Powell	bloom, OSB No. 066988
18	Of Attorney	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

PAGE 4 - [PROPOSED] GENERAL JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE

(Cm)

26

1	CERTIFICA	ATE OF SERVICE			
2	I hereby certify that on January 2, 2014, I caused to be served a copy of the foregoing				
3	[PROPOSED] GENERAL JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE on the following person(s) in the				
4	manner indicated below at the following address(es):				
5	Mr. David J. Bratcher	Mrs. Traci A. Bratcher			
6	1429 NE La Mesa Avenue Gresham, OR 97030	1429 NE La Mesa Avenue Gresham, OR 97030			
7	Telephone: (503) 970-1107	Telephone: (503) 970-1107			
8	Pro se defendant	E-Mail: traci.bratcher@comcast.net			
9	•	Pro se defendant			
10	State of Oregon c/o Department of Justice	Occupants of the Premises 1429 NE LaMesa Avenue Gresham, OR 97030			
11	1162 Court Street NE				
12	Salem, OR 97301				
13	□ by CM/ECF				
14	<ul><li>by Electronic Mail</li><li>by Facsimile Transmission</li></ul>				
15	<ul><li>☑ by First Class Mail</li><li>☐ by Hand Delivery</li></ul>				
16	□ by Overnight Delivery				
17		Julie M. Engbloom			
18		June IVI. Engoloom			
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

	ENTERED	
1	MAR 1 1 2814 FILED	
2	IN REGISTER CDR 21 PM 1	Դև
3	FOR MULTNOMAH COURT	7
4	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON	ĺ
5	FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH	
6 7	WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., its successors in ) interest and/or assigns, )  Case No. 1207-08910  Plaintiff, )	
8	vs. ) STIPULATED GENERAL JUDGMENT OF PORECLOSURE	
10	HAROLD F. BEARD, JR., AKA HAROLD ) FINDLY BEARD; NATIONAL CITY BANK, ) NKA PNC BANK, NATIONAL )	
11	ASSOCIATION; and Occupants of the Premises,)	
12	Defendants.	
13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
14	Plaintiff Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ("plaintiff") and defendant Harold F. Beard, Jr., aka	
15	Harold Findly Beard ("defendant"), acting by and through their respective counsel of record,	
16	hereby stipulate that plaintiff is entitled to entry of final judgment in its favor by reason of all	l
17	requests for relief against all parties and for the following reasons:	
18	1. On or about March 21, 2007, defendant executed and delivered to Directors	3
19	Mortgage Inc. a promissory note in the amount of \$411,975.00 (the "Note").	
20	2. At the same time and place as the execution and delivery of the Note, and as part of	f
21	the same transaction, defendant, the then owner of the real property located at 6014 Southwest	t
22	View Point Terrace, Portland, Oregon 97239, and legally described as follows:	
23	Lot 15, Block 5, Southport, Portland, Multnomah County, Oregon	
24	(the "Property"), executed and delivered a Deed of Trust ("Deed of Trust") to Mortgage Electronic	3
25	Registration Systems, Inc., solely as nominee for Directors Mortgage Inc. and its successors and	i
26		

#### PAGE 1 - STIPULATED GENERAL JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE

- assigns. The Deed of Trust was recorded in the official Multnomah County records on March 27,
- 2 2007, as document number 2007-053285.
- 3 Sometime thereafter, the Note and Deed of Trust were sold or assigned to plaintiff,
- 4 who is the current holder of the Note and assignee of the Deed of Trust, as noted by the Assignment
- of Deed of Trust duly recorded in the official Multnomah County records on April 20, 2009, as
- 6 document number 2009-053468.
- 7 4. Defendant breached the terms of the Note and Deed of Trust by failing to make a
- 8 voluntary monthly payment which was due on May 1, 2011, and making no voluntary payments on
- 9 the Note and Deed of Trust since that date. Therefore, the Note and Deed of Trust are in default.
- 5. A Limited Judgment of Foreclosure against National City Bank, nka PNC Bank,
- 11 National Association, was entered herein on March 14, 2013.
- 12 6. An Order dismissing defendant Harold F. Beard, Jr.'s Third Counterclaim for
- common law fraud was entered herein on October 10, 2013.
- 14 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that:
- 15 1. Plaintiff is entitled to the entry of judgment against all defendants herein,
- 16 foreclosing any interest they may claim or have claimed in or the Property. Plaintiff's judgment
- against defendant National City Bank, nka PNC Bank, National Association is not for any costs
- or money based upon the default entered against it.
- 19 2. The Deed of Trust recorded in the Multnomah County public records on
- 20 March 27, 2007, as document number 2007-053285 is a valid lien for the amount of plaintiff's
- 21 judgment as set forth in the Money Award below against the Property and fixtures located
- thereon and rents and leases relating thereto and situated in Multnomah County, Oregon, and that
- 23 lien is superior to any interest, lien, or claim of any defendant in that real property, fixtures,
- 24 rents, or leases.
- The Deed of Trust is foreclosed and all interest that defendants, and all claims
- 26 held by defendants or their successors or assigns, including purchasers and/or holders of

#### PAGE 2 - STIPULATED GENERAL JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE

- encumbrances, and arising on or after March 21, 2007, in the Property or its fixtures, rents,
- 2 and/or leases shall be sold by the sheriff of Multnomah County, Oregon in the manner provided
- 3 by law and in accordance with the practice of this Court.
- 4. Defendant is not entitled to a homestead exemption as against plaintiff's Deed of
- 5 Trust.
- 6 5. Pursuant to the Money Award below, Judgment is entered in the principal amount
- of \$442,495.47, plus escrow advance in the amount of \$14,058.28, plus accumulated late charges
- 8 in the amount of \$1,179.84, plus accumulated fees in the amount of \$5,297.04, plus interest to
- 9 September 27, 2013, in the amount of \$22,017.62, and from September 28, 2013, at the rate of 2
- percent per annum (\$24.25 per day) until entry of judgment, as well as \$3,544.50 in attorneys'
- fees, costs, and disbursements, and a Writ of Execution shall issue therefor.
- 12 6. The proceeds of the sale shall be applied first towards the costs of sale, then
- 13 toward the satisfaction of the judgment amount set forth in the Money Award below, then the
- surplus, if any, to the clerk of the court to be distributed to any other party or parties as may
- 15 establish their right and priority thereto.
- 7. If the proceeds of sale are insufficient to satisfy plaintiff's Money Award in full,
- 17 plaintiff has no right to recover the deficiency against defendant pursuant to ORS 86.770(2).
- 18 8. Plaintiff is permitted to become a bidder and purchaser at the foreclosure sale and
- may bid up to the aggregate amount of its Money Award, plus interest, from the date of
- 20 judgment until sale without advancing any cash except money required for the sheriff's fees and
- 21 costs of sale.

26

- 22 9. The purchaser of the Property at the sheriff's sale is entitled to exclusive and
- 23 immediate possession of the Property from and after the date of the sale, and is entitled to such
- 24 remedies as are available at law to secure possession of the Property, and that the Judgment
- 25 entered herein shall have the same effect as a writ of assistance, if any of the defendants or any

PAGE 3 - STIPULATED GENERAL JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE

other party or person shall refuse to surrender possession of the property to the purchaser

_		F 7	F	reserve Preserve se see Preserve se see Preserve se
2	imme	diately	on the purchaser's demand for	possession.
3		10.	Defendant Harold F. Beard,	Jr.'s remaining Counterclaims are hereby dismissed
4	with p	orejudio	ce.	
5			MO	NEY AWARD
6	1.	Judg	ment Creditor:	Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.
7				c/o Peter D. Hawkes Lane Powell PC
8				601 SW Second Avenue, Suite 2100 Portland, OR 97204-3158
9	2.	Indo	ment Creditor's Attorney:	Peter D. Hawkes
10	2.	Judg	ment election's Attorney.	Lane Powell PC
11				601 SW Second Avenue, Suite 2100 Portland, OR 97204-3158
12				503.778.2100
13	3.	Judg	ment Debtor:	Harold F. Beard, Jr.
14				6014 Southwest View Point Terrace Portland, OR 97239
15				Year of birth: 1961 Final four digits of Social Security number: 3771 Final four digits of driver license number: 1787
16				Final four digits of driver license number: 1787 Attorney: None
17	4.	Otho	r Person/Entity Entitled	
18	4.		ortion of Judgment:	None
19	5.	Ama	went of Indoments	\$462,020,62
20	3.	Amo	ount of Judgment:	\$463,030.63
21	7.	Pre-J	Judgment Interest:	\$22,017.62, plus interest at the rate of \$24.75 per
22				day from September 28, 2013 to entry of judgment.
23	8.	Post	judgment Interest:	9 percent simple interest on the sum of the amounts
24				as set forth in paragraphs 5 and 6 above.
25				
26				

1

1	9. Costs, disbursements, and attorney fees:	\$2.544.50 on plaintiff's judicial forcelogues claim
2	attorney rees.	\$3,544.50 on plaintiff's judicial foreclosure claim, pursuant to the terms of the Note and Deed of Trust.
3		G love a na
4	DATED this day of .	January, 2014.
5		
6		mail of handress
7		Marilyn Litzenberger, Circuit Court Judge
8	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
9	LANE POWELL PC	HALL LAW PC
10		Miller
11	By Pilar C. French, OSB No. 962880	By (X) (Brett J. Hall, OSB No. 035694
12	Peter D. Hawkes, OSB No. 07198 Attorneys for Plaintiff	Attorneys for Defendant Harold F. Beard, Jr.
13	DATED: 2/18/14	DATED: 2//0//9
14		/
15	Submitted by:  LANE POWELL PC	
16	Pilar C. French, OSB No. 962880	
17	Peter D. Hawkes, OSB No. 071986 Attorneys for Plaintiff	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

PAGE 5 - STIPULATED GENERAL JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that on February 18, 2014, I caused to be served a copy of the foregoing
3	[PROPOSED] STIPULATED GENERAL JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE on the following
4	person(s) in the manner indicated below at the following address(es):
5 6 7 8 9	Brett J. Hall Hall Law PC 111 SW Columbia Street, Suite 1010 Portland, OR 97201  by CM/ECF by Electronic Mail by Facsimile Transmission by First Class Mail by Hand Delivery
10	□ by Overnight Delivery
11 12	
13	Peter D. Hawkes
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

PAGE 6 - STIPULATED GENERAL JUDGMENT OF FORECLOSURE